



Badly written orders (whether they are confusing, illogical or simply unsupported) increase the possibility the decision will be overturned and may make the parties more inclined to appeal a decision.



Clearly and concisely explain the rationale of the decision so anyone is able to understand why and how a particular result was reached.

Provide the parties critical information as to why the Board decided as it did. Aim to include a full discussion of the case, the issues, the facts, and the reasoning applied to resolve the controversy.



- Opening: who, what, when, where, why, and how.
- Summary of the Issues: address any claim, defense, error or objection.
- Recitation of facts: Focusing on facts to correctly describe the arguments in support of each party's position on each issue, and to give clear reasons justifying the result.
- Analysis of issues: detailed analysis of the issues and the rationale for the outcome.
- Conclusion/Decision: identify which aspects of the Assessors determination have been sustained, reversed, or modified.



Decisions are not negotiable.

- There is currently no law requiring boards to reconsider their determinations when asked to do so by one of the parties to an appeal.
- However, there is no prohibition in statute or rule for a board to consider other requests or develop their own policy regarding reconsiderations.
- The Department has advised boards that they may choose to accept and review requests for reconsideration.
- Reconsiderations should be processed only to correct an egregious error.
- The most accepted procedure with respect to such a request remains for the aggrieved party to appeal the local board's determination to the State Board of Tax Appeals.



