

•	
<b>PRESUMPTION OF</b>	rececce 🤎
CORRECTNESS	Presumption applies to: original, certified assessed value
THE ORIGINAL VALUE SET BY THE ASSESSOR IS PRESUMED TO BE CORRECT.	corrected values under manifest error
<ul> <li>It's really an assumption that the official knows the rules and applied them reasonably.</li> </ul>	offers of stipulated         values         Rcw 84.40.0301
<ul> <li>Clear, cogent and convincing evidence is required to overcome the presumption.</li> </ul>	
	h
What would happen if there was no correctness?	presumption of

# CLEAR, COGENT AND CONVINCING

SCENE

### THE TAXPAYER'S DOUBLE BARREL BURDEN

 Must show its 'highly probable' the assessor made an error.

 Must show specific amount of adjustment in value

What causes the burden of proof to shift to preponderance of evidence?

	•
PREPONDERANCE	
'MORE LIKELY THAN NOT' OR '51% SURE'	
• Weyerhaeuser Company v. Easter	
 • Once the taxpayer proves the assessor erred, the taxpayer's suggested value can be considered	
under preponderance of the evidence.	
Q	
When do evidence exchanges occur?	

### **EVIDENCIARY DEADLINES**

#### RCW 84.48.150 & WAC 458-14-066

Within 60 days of petition submission

21 business days before the hearing

New Evidence?

## VALUATION INFORMATION VS. EVIDENCE

• Taxpayers' expectation is side by side comps

 Valuation info could just be a link to county sales (residential)

• No rebuttal before hearing for either party

WHAT THE TAXPAYER IS REQUESTING ON THEIR PETITION.

I request the information the assessor used in valuing my property. Yes



### tl

### **BOE CHOICES**

### IN CASES OF MISSED EXCHANGE DEADLINES OR

#### **NEW EVIDENCE, WHAT CAN THE BOE DO?**

### WAC 458-14-066(4)

- If there's no objection, consider the evidence and proceed.
- If there's an objection:
  - **Refuse** to consider late evidence.
  - **Postpone** allowing the parties to review any new evidence; or
  - **Proceed** but allow parties to submit new evidence for comment after the hearing.

What if the BOE is asking for more information?

# EVIDENCE VS. ARGUMENT

"My property was damaged and is worth less now."

vs.

"HERE ARE <u>PICTURES</u> OF THE EARTHQUAKE DAMAGE"

"HERE'S AN <u>ESTIMATE</u> FROM MY CONTRACTOR AND IT WILL COST \$50k TO FIX THE DAMAGE"

"HERE'S <u>5 COMPS</u> FROM ACROSS THE STREET WITH SIMILAR DAMAGE"



IS IT clear, cogent and convincing
EVIDENCE?
New Message _ 🖉 ×
To Petitioner@email.com
Subject Property Value
Hi Taxpayer,
Thanks for your question. You are correct, current use exemptions can apply to beekeeping if all criteria are met.
Signed, DOR Employee
Send 🛛 🖸 😌 💼 🔒 💼





